

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO

1 2 Arizona Corporation Commission **COMMISSIONERS** DOCKETED GARY PIERCE, CHAIRMAN 3 **BOB STUMP** JUL 2 5 2011 SANDRA D. KENNEDY 4 PAUL NEWMAN DOCKETED BY **BRENDA BURNS** 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF Docket No. E-01933A-07-0402 TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR 8 THE ESTABLISHMENT OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO REALIZE A REASONABLE 10 RATE OF RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS OPERATIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE 11 OF ARIZONA. IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING BY TUCSON Docket No. E-01933A-05-0650 12 ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY TO AMEND 72501 DECISION NO. 62103. 13 Decision No. 14 **Order Amending** Decision No. 70628 15 16 **Open Meeting** July 12-13, 2011 Phoenix, Arizona 17

## BY THE COMMISSION:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "Company") is engaged in providing electric service within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission").
- 2. TEP's current rates and charges were approved in Decision No. 70628 entered December 1, 2008. In relevant part, Decision No. 70628 approved a tariff ("LXA Tariff") that eliminated and modified TEP's historical line extension tariff provisions in effect prior to Decision No. 70628.
- Commission Rules (A.A.C. R.14-2-207.C) require electric utilities' line extension 3. tariffs to include provisions for a certain amount of electric line extension footage to be provided to customers at no charge.

1

7

5

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

25

26 27

28

- 4. Without addressing A.A.C. R.14-2-207.C., Decision No. 70628 required TEP to remove its "free footage" line extension provisions. Prior to Decision No. 70628, TEP's historical "free footage" line extension tariff provisions included a free-footage allowance, in accordance with the Commission's rules.
- 5. In April 2011, Commission Chairman Gary Pierce received requests from several Southern Arizona chambers of commerce and construction organizations for the Commission to consider initiating proceedings to modify Decision No. 70628 to reinstate TEP's historical line extension provisions.
- 6. On April 27, 2011 at a Commission Open Meeting, the Commission directed Commission Staff to file sample language consistent with TEP's historical line extension tariff provisions in effect prior to entry of Commission Decision No. 70628. Staff filed sample language as directed by the Commission on June 1, 2011.
- 7. On June 3, 2011, Chairman Gary Pierce docketed a letter to parties and stakeholders in the above captioned dockets, seeking comments related to possible reinstatement of TEP's historical line extension tariffs and the sample tariff language filed by Staff. Interested parties and stakeholders docketed responses to the Chairman's letter on or about June 15, 2011.
- 8. On June 21, 2011 at a Commission Open Meeting, the Commission discussed and considered these matters, including the docketed responses concerning the sample tariff language filed by Staff. Thereafter, the Commission voted to reopen Decision No. 70628 pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-252 with notice and opportunity to be heard for the limited purpose of future consideration of amending the decision to reinstate TEP's historical line extension tariff provisions consistent with the June 1, 2011 sample tariff language filed by Staff.
- 9. The Commission directed that this matter be placed on its July 12-13, 2011 Open Meeting for proceedings pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-252 with notice and opportunity to be heard.
- 10. On July 12-13, 2011, the Commission held a proceeding in accordance with A.R.S. § 40-252 with notice and opportunity to be heard concerning modifying Decision No. 70628 to approve the reinstatement of historical line extension tariff provisions consistent with the sample tariff language filed by Staff on June 1, 2011.

72501 Decision No.

E-01933A-05-0650 11. Upon due consideration of these matters pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-252, and in balancing the public interest, we find and conclude that it is in the public interest to modify Decision No. 70628 to approve the sample tariff language as set forth in Exhibit A filed by Staff on June 1, 2011. **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Decision No. 70628 is modified by the Commission to approve the reinstatement of Tucson Electric Power Company's historical line extension tariff provisions in the manner set forth in Exhibit A to replace Tucson Electric Power Company's current line extension tariff provisions. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other matters and provisions set forth in Decision No. 70628 continue to be in full force and effect, and are unchanged by this decision. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Tucson Electric Power Company shall file a tariff in conformance with Exhibit A as its new line extension tariff as a compliance item in this matter within thirty days of this decision. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this decision shall become effective immediately. Decision No. 72501 

**COMMISSIONER** 

**COMMISSIONER** 

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

**COMMISSIONER** 

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capital, in the City of Phoenix, this 25th day of July

COMMISSIONER

**Executive Director** 

DISSENT: 

Decision No.

**COMMISSIONERS GARY PIERCE - Chairman BOB STUMP** SANDRA D. KENNEDY **PAUL NEWMAN BRENDA BURNS** 



## **PAUL NEWMAN** COMMISSIONER

Direct Line: (602) 542-3699 Fax: (602) 542-3708 E-mail: pnewman@azcc.gov

## ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

July 22, 2011

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket Control

> Re: Tucson Electric Power Company Line Extension Policy Issue Docket Nos. E-01933A-07-0402 and E-01933A-05-0650

I am writing this addition to the decision to reinstate Tucson Electric Power's Line Extension tariffs. I voted for this item, which is an exception to my previous position and wanted to explain my position.

I believe I have a commitment from the Southern AZ Home Builders Alliance (SAHBA) to increase Energy Efficiency (EE) and Renewable Energy (RE) applications in new homes. I have asked to have maximum transparency and disclosure about the cost to ratepayers, as well as quarterly monitoring.

TEP assured the Commission that the cost for each ratepayer per month will be low, and neither TEP nor SAHBA anticipate that our previous high levels of growth will return soon. As long as growth is low, the cost will also be low.

I believe that growth needs to pay for itself. However, while Arizona's economy is suffering, I believe this may help with growth and so support it.

I also want to encourage RUCO to continue to monitor these costs, and appreciate their research on the cost to consumers. I want to add that I believe that solar rebates are a higher priority than 'free footage,' and that the Commission has a moral imperative to support a clean energy economy.

Sincerely,

Paul Newman

Commissioner